

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/028,514	02/23/1998	STEPHEN F. GORFIEN	0942.4110002	4800
26111 7.	590 04/23/2002			
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3934			EXAMINER	
			WARE, DEBORAH K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	05
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/028,514

Examiner

Art Unit

Gorflen et al.

Ware 1651 - The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _____ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 1, 2002 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) X This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay 1835 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) X Claim(s) 1-3, 6-17, 20-24, 27-37, 73-82, 106-109, 112, 140, and 143-174 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from considera 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) _______is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) X Claims <u>1-3, 6-17, 20-24, 27-37, 73-82, 106-109, 112, 140, and</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirem **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. is: a approved b) disapproved. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on ___ 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/028,514 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-3, 6-17, 20-24, 27-37, 73-77, 140, 154, 157-174, drawn to a method of cultivating a mammalian cells in suspension for non-recombinant purposes, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
- II. Claims 79-82, , 106-109, 112, 143-153, 155-156 drawn to a method of cultivating mammalian cells in suspension for recombinant and genetically engineering purposes, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the methods of Groups I and II have different effects in that Group II requires expression of recombinant protein(s) whereas Group I does not.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Brian Del Buono on April 20, 2002, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/028,514 Page 3

Art Unit: 1651

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CAR 1.143).

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CAR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CAR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CAR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah K. Ware whose telephone number is (703) 308-4245.

DEBORAH K. WARE PATENT EXAMINER

Deborah K. Ware

April 22, 2002